Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/632,834	KUSUMOTO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Stephen J. Kalafut	1745
All Participants:	Status of Application: no	on-final rejection
(1) <u>Stephen J. Kalafut</u> .	(3)	
. (2) <u>Shuji Yoshizaki</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 25 August 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE Of Mr. Yoshizaki indicated that no response has been sent to		AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief su	on. The examiner will provide a write arate record of the substance of the	ten summary of the substance interview.
,		
•		
The state of the s		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (App	Dicant/Applicant's Representative S	Signature – if appropriate)